Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Cengiz Arıcı,^a* Devrim Yüzer,^b Orhan Atakol,^b Hartmut Fuess^c and Ingrid Svoboda^c

^aDepartment of Engineering Physics, Hacettepe University, Beytepe 06800, Ankara, Turkey, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Ankara University, Tandogan 06100, Ankara, Turkey, and ^cStruk-Strukturforschung, FB Materialwissenschaft Technische, Universitat Darmstadt, Petersen Str. D-64287, Darmstadt, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: arici@hacettepe.edu.tr

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 299 KMean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.007 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.050 wR factor = 0.129 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

A linear homotrinuclear nickel(II) complex with a reduced ONNO-type Schiff base

The structure of the title compound, bis{[μ -bis-N,N'[1-(2-oxyphenyl)ethyl]-2-hydroxy-1,3-propanediaminato](N,N-dimethylformamide)nickel(II)](μ -formato)}nickel(II)} N,N-dimethylformamide disolvate, [Ni₃(CO₂H)₂(C₃H₇NO)₂-(C₁₉H₂₄N₂O₃)₂]-2C₃H₇NO, comprises a centrosymmetric linear homotrinuclear nickel(II) complex. The central and terminal nickel(II) atoms have distorted octahedral coordination geometries.

Comment

Trinuclear linear homo- or heteronuclear complexes based on Schiff base ligands are of interest because of their magnetic super-exchange interactions between bridged metal ions (Fukuhara et al., 1990). In these complexes, various combinations of metal ions in the central and terminal locations, as well as the μ -bridges, such as acetate and nitrite anions, are observed (Atakol et al., 1999; Yıldırım & Atakol, 2002). Schiff bases prepared from 2-amino-1,3-propanediamine are frequently reported in the literature in terms of their coordination chemistry (Averseng et al., 2001; Mikuriya et al., 1993; Guerrino et al., 1989; Than et al., 1999; Dominguez-Vera et al., 2000; Golcaves et al., 2002; Courtney et al., 2002; Aneetha et al., 1999 & Uhlenbrock et al., 1996). An ONONO-type chelating agent is formed by the reaction between this amine and salicylaldehyde. Generally, multinuclear complexes are formed with these types of Schiff bases and in some cases the alcoholic OH group of this ligand is involved in coordination. In this study, the Schiff base derived from 2-hydroxyacetophenone and 2-hydroxy-1,3-propanediamine was reduced in MeOH medium with NaBH₄ and a homotrinuclear nickel(II) complex was subsequently prepared in DMF solution.



Received 10 March 2005 Accepted 12 April 2005 Online 23 April 2005

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

Atom-numbering scheme for (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

In $[Ni_3(CO_2H)_2(C_3H_7NO)_2(C_{19}H_{24}N_2O_3)_2]\cdot 2C_3H_7NO,$ (I), the central Ni^{II} ion is located on an inversion centre. As shown in Fig. 1, the coordination around the central Ni atom is based on an O₆ donor set, and that around the two terminal Ni atoms is based on N₂O₄. The central Ni^{II} atom has an irregular octahedral environment, involving four bridging O atoms derived from the Schiff base ligands and two O atoms from the bidentate bridging formate ligands. The terminal Ni^{II} atom is coordinated by a tetradentate Schiff base ligand, via two O and two N atoms, as well as one O atom from the bridging formate ligand and one O atom from a coordinated DMF molecule. The Ni2/N1/C9/C10/C11/N2 ring adopts a distorted chair conformation and the C10-O6 bond is in an axial position; atom O6 does not participate in coordination. The coordination observed in (I) is similar to that reported for a linear homotrinuclear nickel complex containing nitrate bridges (Atakol et al., 1999). Similar bond distances and angles were observed for both complexes (Table 1). The Ni1···Ni2 distances for these complexes are 3.1421 (6) [for (I)] and 3.0322 (7) Å.

There are both inter- and intramolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions in the structure, as summarized in Table 2. The O6/H group, in effect, links the complex, via N1/H, to a DMF solvent molecule, as shown in Fig. 2. The third interaction, involving N2-H and the coordinated O atom of DMF, adds stability to the trinuclear cluster.

Experimental

The ligand was prepared in two steps. In the first step, the Schiff base was synthesized from 2 hydroxyacetophenone (5.44 g, 40 mmol) and 2-hydroxy-1,3-propanediamine (1.80 g, 20 mmol) in MeOH solution (mole fraction 2/1). The mixture was left to stand in air. After 4-5 h, the yellow Schiff base crystallized. In the second step, the Schiff base (3.26 g, 10 mmol) was dissolved in cold MeOH (50 ml) and NaBH₄ was added slowly to this solution until it turned colourless. The reduced Schiff base precipitated after addition of excess water. The mixture was left to stand at 277 K for one day to obtain crystals and then was filtered. The crystals were allowed to dry in air. For the preparation of the title complex, the ligand (0.990 g, 3 mmol) was dissolved in hot DMF (60 ml), and solutions of NiCl₂·6H₂O (1.067 g,

4.5 mmol) in hot MeOH (25 ml) and HCOONa (0.612 g, 9 mmol) in hot water (15 ml) were added. Crystals of the complex were obtained by filtration after one day. Analysis calculated for C₅₂H₇₈N₈Ni₃O₁₄: C 51.52, H 6.27, N 8.58, Ni 15.41%; found: C 51.09, H 5.97, N 8.34, Ni 14.88%. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (N–H) 3285, ν (C–H)_{Ar} 3053, ν (C–H)_{Aliph} 2934–2797, ν(C=O)_{DMF} 1645 ν(C=C)_{Ar} 1604, δ_{CH2} 1481, δ(C-H)_{Ar} 737, ν (O-H) 2720 cm⁻¹. Mass loss for DMF: calculated 19.19%; observed 20.36%.

Crystal data

Ni ₃ (CO ₂ H) ₂ (C ₃ H ₇ NO) ₂ -	Z = 1
$(C_{19}H_{24}N_2O_3)_2]\cdot 2C_3H_7NO$	$D_x = 1.266 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 1215.29$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Friclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Cell parameters from 25
a = 11.586 (2) Å	reflections
p = 11.8210 (11) Å	$\theta = 2.9 - 28.8^{\circ}$
r = 12.652 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.94 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 96.50 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 299 (2) K
$B = 107.03 \ (1)^{\circ}$	Block, blue
$\nu = 101.69 \ (1)^{\circ}$	$0.45 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$
V = 1594.5 (4) Å ³	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xealibur CCD 2904 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ diffractometer $R_{\rm int} = 0.036$ ω scans $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.8^{\circ}$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 5$ Absorption correction: none $k = -13 \rightarrow 14$ 9225 measured reflections 8345 independent reflections $l = -15 \rightarrow 16$ Refinement Refinement on F^2 H-atom parameters constrained R[F]wR(*S* =

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.05$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0574P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.129$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.95	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
6404 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
349 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ni1-Ni2	3.1421 (6)	Ni2-O2	2.165 (3)
Ni1-O1	2.148 (3)	Ni2-O4 ⁱ	2.105 (3)
Ni1-O2	2.047 (2)	Ni2-O5	2.218 (3)
Ni1-O3	2.213 (3)	Ni2-N1	2.196 (3)
Ni2-01	2.024 (2)	Ni2-N2	2.093 (3)
Ni2-O1-Ni1	97.68 (10)	N2-Ni2-O2	91.93 (12)
Ni1-O2-Ni2	96.43 (10)	O4 ⁱ -Ni2-O2	96.91 (11)
O1-Ni1-O3	99.04 (10)	O1-Ni2-N1	93.56 (11)
O1 ⁱ -Ni1-O3	80.96 (10)	N2-Ni2-N1	92.56 (13)
O2 ⁱ -Ni1-O1	98.87 (9)	O4 ⁱ -Ni2-N1	88.80 (13)
O2-Ni1-O1	81.13 (9)	O2-Ni2-N1	172.48 (11)
O2 ⁱ -Ni1-O3	85.13 (10)	O1-Ni2-O5	91.01 (10)
O2-Ni1-O3	94.87 (10)	N2-Ni2-O5	81.80 (13)
O1-Ni2-N2	170.49 (13)	O4 ⁱ -Ni2-O5	175.14 (11)
O1–Ni2–O4 ⁱ	93.50 (10)	O2-Ni2-O5	85.55 (11)
N2–Ni2–O4 ⁱ	93.90 (13)	N1-Ni2-O5	89.13 (13)
O1-Ni2-O2	81.25 (10)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
06-H6···O7 ⁱⁱ	0.82	2.01	2.721 (6)	145
$N1 - H1 \cdots O6$	0.91	2.35	2.773 (5)	108
$N2-H2\cdots O5$	0.91	2.37	2.825 (5)	110

Symmetry code: (ii) x, y, z + 1.

All H atoms were placed geometrically (C–H = 0.93–0.98 Å, N– H = 0.91 Å and O–H = 0.82 Å) and refined in the riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for CH, NH and CH₂, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C,O)$ for CH₃ and OH groups. It was noted that the DMF molecule has high thermal motion but multiple sites were not resolved.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2001); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2001); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; structure solution: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); structure refinement: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

OA is grateful to DAAD for support, and the authors acknowledge the financial support of the Ankara University Research Fund (project No. 20030705083).

References

- Aneetha, H., Pannerselvam, K., Liou, T.-F., Lu, T.-H. & Chung, C.-S. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2689–2694.
- Atakol, O., Arıcı, C., Tahir, M. N., Kurtaran, R. & Ülkü, D. (1999). Anal. Sci. 15, 933–934.
- Averseng, F., Lacroix, P. G., Malfant, I., Perisse, N., Lepetit, C. & Nakatani, K. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 3797–3804.
- Courtney, S. C., Murray, K. S. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2002). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 217, 217–218.
- Dominguez-Vera, J. M., Camara, F., Moreno, J. M., Garcia, J. I. & Colacio, E. (2000). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **306**, 137–141.



Figure 2

A view of the hydrogen bonding (dashed lines) in (I).

- Fukuhara, C., Tsuneyoshi, K., Matsumoto, N., Kida, S., Mikuriya, M. & Mori, M. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3473–3479.
- Golcaves, N. C., Rossi, L. M., Noda, L. K., Santos, P. S. & Bortolluzzi, A. J. (2002). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 329, 141–146.
- Guerrino, P., Tamburuni, S. & Vigato, P. A. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 2037-2044.
- Mikuriya, M., Nakatani, K. & Kotera, T. (1993). Chem. Lett. pp. 637-640.
- Oxford Diffraction (2001). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Than, R., Feldmann, A. A. & Krebs, B. (1999). Coord. Chem. Rev. 182, 211–241.
- Uhlenbrock, S., Wegner, R. & Krebs, B. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3731–3736.
- Yıldırım, L. T. & Atakol, O. (2002). Cryst. Res. Technol. 12, 1352-1359.